GROVE HOUSE • LUTYENS CLOSE • CHINEHAM COURT • BASINGSTOKE • HAMPSHIRE RG24 8AG
TELEPHONE +44/0 1256 316522 • FAX +44/0 1256 316559
EMAIL: mail@optimus-patents.com

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland

Our Ref:         16051-1
Your Ref:PCT/EP2004/052409

15<sup>th</sup> December 2005

Dear Sirs

Re:   **Patent Application PCT/EP2004/052409 in the name of Sendo International Limited.**

In response to the establishment and transmittal of the International Search Report, dated 15 September 2005, and in accordance with Rule 46(1) PCT period, the Applicant herewith files amended claims made under Article 19(PCT). The nature of the amendments is detailed in the attached Statement under Article 19(1).

We enclose amended pages 15 to 17 of the specification. Would you please substitute the amended pages for the corresponding pages currently on file?

For the assistance of the Examiner, an additional copy of the amended pages is enclosed, highlighting the amendments made.

The Applicant has noted that the Examiner has misinterpreted the teaching of the primary citation by Microsoft, titled "Active Desktop: The Shell and Beyond". In particular, it is noteworthy that the Microsoft citation teaches the opposite to the claimed present invention.

Therefore, to clarify this misunderstanding, the Applicant respectfully submits the following observations. The Microsoft citation teaches that the 'shell application' performs all of the rendering operation, and thus dictates what and how the one or more files is/are represented to the user interface. Notably, the Microsoft citation teaches an example of remote data (HTML, DHTML) files being rendered onto the display by components of the shell program, i.e. because HTML & DHTML is not executable machine code but 'data'. It is mentioned that java applets can also be used to render onto the display. Again a java applet is 'data'. Java byte codes are downloaded from the remote computer and executed by the virtual machine included within the shell program – the shell program inspects the data (java bytecodes) and decides to hand the data to an internally available library (the java virtual machine) to interpret the 'data'. Thus, in the Microsoft citation, the shell program is NOT handing over responsibility to the represented program to render onto the display. Instead the represented program is passing data to the shell program to interpret as the shell program sees fit!

WEB)SITE: www.optimus-patents.com

A J WRAY. PROPRIETOR

In contrast, the claimed present invention has passed this execution to the item (file(s)) itself, to generate its own executable representation. Thus, the 'execution control' of what is to be displayed and how it is to be displayed, has been taken from the shell application and passed to the one or more files.

Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions, please contact our Mr Wray.

Yours faithfully

*A.J. Wray*
*European Patent Attorney*
*Optimus*
Agent for the Applicant

## Statement under Article 19(1) PCT

**Re:   Patent Application PCT/EP2004/052409 in the name of Sendo International Limited.**


**Amendments and support therefor:**

The feature that the executable code, when run, provides multiple respective representations of the files has been incorporated into Claim 2.

Claim 6 has been clarified in that the executable code represents the one or more files.

Claim 7 has been clarified in that the executable code, rather than a file type or additional files comprise the subsequent list.

Claim 10 has been clarified to be consistent with Claim 1, in that the one or more files are configured with executable code.


*A.J.Wray*
*European Patent Attorney*
*Optimus*
*Agent for the Applicant*